


Schroader, Kathy



From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2016 3 10 PM
To: 'Kaitlin Gillespie', Euler, Gordon
Cc: Schröder, Kathy
Subject: RE: Clarification on a few things

You are most welcome!

From: Kaitlin Gillespie [<mailto:Kaitlin.Gillespie@columbian.com>]
Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2016 2:52 PM
To: Euler, Gordon; Orjiako, Oliver
Subject: RE: Clarification on a few things

Got it! Thanks so much!

Kaitlin Gillespie
The Columbian, Clark County government reporter
(360) 735-4517
kaitlin.gillespie@columbian.com
On Twitter @newsladykatie

>>> "Orjiako, Oliver" <Oliver.Orjiako@clark.wa.gov> 1/13/2016 2 50 PM >>>

Hello Kaitlin

You are correct. It is important to note that the planning assumptions has been reviewed throughout the planning process starting in 1994 and reviewed by subsequent Board in 2004 and 2007. For the 2016 plan update, here are the dates of work sessions and hearings relating to the review and approval of planning assumptions:

Work session April 16, 2014, WS – May 14, 2014, WS – June 18, 2014, Hearing – June 24, 2014 with adopted resolution 2014-06-17. Then we had a hearing on April 14, 2015 and at that hearing the Board amended Resolution 2014-06-17. The new resolution is 2015-04-05 signed by Councilor Madore as Chair dealing with planning assumptions.

Additional request to add land for residential development was made by Ridgefield and Washougal. The City of La Center only asked for land for jobs. I hope this is helpful. Thanks.

Best,

Oliver

From: Kaitlin Gillespie [mailto:Kaitlin.Gillespie@columbian.com]

Sent: Wednesday, January 13, 2016 12:38 PM

To: Euler, Gordon

Cc: Orjiako, Oliver

Subject: Clarification on a few things

Hi, Gordy and Oliver,

So, I'm just hoping to clarify the timeline on the option A planning assumptions I think I have this information already, but I just want to be super clear So, these planning assumptions have been vetted as a function of having guided planning policy since the 1994 plan, correct? The current plan is in compliance with the GMA, like Chris Cook said today, and that was built on the option A assumptions? And the board has affirmed these assumptions multiple times, correct? I reported that they approved the assumptions back in June 2014, and recently again in April 2015 to accommodate additional population requested by La Center?

I just want to make sure, as we're reporting Councilor Madore's claims that these assumptions have not been vetted or not approved by previous boards, that I'm also giving an accurate timeline of where the board and previous boards have previously seen them

Katie

Kaitlin Gillespie

The Columbian, Clark County government reporter

(360) 735-4517

kaitlin.gillespie@columbian.com

On Twitter @newsladykatie

This e-mail and related attachments and any response may be subject to public disclosure under state law.